

Appl. No. : 10/772,990  
Filed : February 5, 2004

### REMARKS

In the restriction requirement mailed May 9, 2005, the Examiner restricted examination of the application to one of the following groups of claims:

Group I: Claims 1, 2, and 6-19, drawn to a force feedback system for an aircraft. For group I, the Examiner also required election of a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The Examiner indicated that the claims include the following species: a) Species A: the position sensor is configured to measure an actual position of the cockpit-flight-controller; and b) Species B: the position sensor is configured to measure a relative position of the cockpit-flight-controller.

Group II: Claims 3-5, drawn to a method of providing feedback in a helicopter.

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant elects group I corresponding to Claims 1, 2, and 6-19. Applicant respectfully submits that new Claims 20-22 are also in group I and are elected for examination on the merits. Applicant also provisionally elects Species B for prosecution on the merits if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1, 6, and 19 as amended, are each generic. Applicant further submits that Claims 2, and 7-18 are generic. Applicant also submits that Claims 20 and 21 are readable on Species B, as defined by the Examiner.

Should the Examiner determine that any issues may be resolved by a telephone call, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: June 6, 2005

By: Aaron D. Barker  
Aaron D. Barker  
Registration No. 51,432  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404